# United States Bistrict Court

## WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

#### **UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

V

(2)

# ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Jos	se Lu	ıis Rivas-Zurita	Case Number:	1:12-CR-258
requ		ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 1s detention of the defendant pending t		is been held. I conclude that the following facts
			Part I - Findings of Fact	
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense) (state or local offense that vexisted) that is	offense described in 18 U.S.C. §3142	(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal cumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had
		a crime of violence as defined	in 18 U.S.C.§3156(a)(4).	
		an offense for which the max	mum sentence is life imprisonment or d	eath.
		an offense for which the max	imum term of imprisonment of ten year	s or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed a U.S.C.§3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or c	fter the defendant had been convicted of to omparable state or local offenses.	two or more prior federal offenses described in 18
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) v offense.	vas committed while the defendant was or	n release pending trial for a federal, state or local
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).		
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.		
_		p. coap. c	Alternate Findings (A)	
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe	that the defendant has committed an of	fense
		for which a maximum term of under 18 U.S.C.§924(c).	f imprisonment of ten years or more is p	prescribed in
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the reasonably assure the appearance	presumption established by finding 1 the of the defendant as required and the sa	at no condition or combination of conditions will fety of the community.
_			Alternate Findings (B)	
X	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defer		

### Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Defendant is an illegal alien with an ICE detainer.

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by a preponderance of the evidence that no condition(s) will assure the appearance of the defendant. Defendant waived a detention hearing in open court with his attorney present.

### **Part III - Directions Regarding Detention**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated:	October 31, 2012	/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.	
		Signature of Judicial Officer	
		Hugh W. Brenneman, United States Magistrate Judge	
		Name and Title of Judicial Officer	

\*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §801 et seq. ); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §951 et seq. ); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. §955a).